

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,589		08/30/2001	Elisabeth Picard-Lesboueyries	211813US0 6408	
22850	7590	03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET				JIANG, SHAOJIA A	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1617	
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/941,589	PICARD-LESBOUEYRIES ET AL.				
	y manen	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shaojia A Jiang	1617				
Ī	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
	THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or						
	(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in						
	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
	(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
	(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.				
	NOTE: see attachment.		•				
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
	 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attachment.						
ļ	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would not be a proposed amended.	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an vor appended.				
İ	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed: none.	<	Solano				
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	CHÂ	OIL COLOR				
	Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-29.	Si y	3/17/04				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		• • •				
	8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square appro	ved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.				
!	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	¹				
1	0. Other:						
	Patent and Trademark Office OL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advisor	v Action	Part of Paper No. 20040247				

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 20040317

Application/Control Number: 09/941,589

Art Unit: 1617

Advisory Action

This Office Action is a response to Applicant's proposed amendment and response <u>after FINAL</u> filed on March 3, 2003.

- 2. Applicant's proposed amended claims herein, changing limitations and the scope of claims present a new issue for search and consideration by the Examiner. These proposed amended claims herein are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeals by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. More importantly Applicant's proposed amendment does not pleace the application in conditon for allowance as further discussed below.
- 5. Applicant's remarks filed March 3, 2003 with respect to the rejection of claims 1-2 and 4-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dahms et al. (5,911,981, of record) and Erillli et al. (5,629,279, of record) and Ribier et al. (5,601,833 of record) have been fully considered but are unpersuasive for reasons of record stated in the Final Office Action dated December 1, 2003, in view of Applicant's proposed amendment not entered.

Applicant's same or similar arguments have been discussed in the Final Rejection, the claimed invention is clearly obvious in view of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jiang, whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 09/941,589

Art Unit: 1617

571.272.0627. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, Ph.D., can be reached on 571.272.0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

1235.

S. Anna Jiang, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, AU 1617

February 13, 2004